REPORT RESUMES

ED 010 698

A STUDY OF CERTAIN FACTORS INVOLVED IN JOB PLACEMENT ACTIVITY OF HIGH SCHOOL AGE YOUTH.

BY- CAMPBELL, NORRIS C.

AMERICAN PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE ASSN., WASH., D.C.

PUB DATE 5 APR 66

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.09 HC-\$0.36 9P

DESCRIPTORS- *SECONDARY SCHOOL COUNSELORS, STUDENT EMPLOYMENT, *HIGH SCHOOLS, *EMPLOYMENT SERVICES, *JOB PLACEMENT, HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS, COLLEGE STUDENTS, QUESTIONNAIRES, EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES, JOB SKILLS, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

KNOWLEDGE ABOUT JOB PLACEMENT ACTIVITY AMONG SCHOOL-AGE YOUTH WAS EXPLORED IN A SURVEY OF (1) SCHOOL COUNSELOR INVOLVEMENT IN JOB PLACEMENT, (2) ROLE OF OTHER AGENCIES, AND (3) SELF-EFFORT OF STUDENTS. TWO GROUPS WERE STUDIED -- (1) 947 EAST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY FRESHMEN AND (2) 745 ABILENE CHRISTIAN COLLEGE FRESHMEN. SEX DISTRIBUTION WAS ABOUT EQUAL. NO LOCAL INFLUENCES WERE OBSERVED IN THESE GEOGRAPHICALLY SEPARATED GROUPS. THE QUESTIONNAIRE REGARDED PART-TIME VERSUS FULL-TIME EMPLOYMENT, OCCUPATION IN WHICH EMPLOYED, AMOUNT OF EMPLOYMENT, AND THOSE WHO HELPED IN OBTAINING THE EMPLOYMENT DURING THE LAST SCHOOL YEAR AND FIRST SUMMER OUT OF SCHOOL. THE SURVEY SHOWED THAT (1) SCHOOL PERSONNEL DID LITTLE TO HELP YOUNG PEOPLE FIND JOBS, (2) STATE EMPLOYMENT AGENCIES DID LITTLE MORE, AND (3) YOUNG PEOPLE WOULD LIKE TO WORK MORE. LESS THAN 5 PERCENT OBTAINED HELP FROM THE SCHOOLS AND STATE AGENCIES COMBINED. IMPLICATIONS STATED WERE (1) THERE IS A NEED FOR AN AGENCY TO MEET THE JOB NEEDS AND INTERESTS OF YOUNG PEOPLE, AND (2) ALTHOUGH LIMITED TO SOUTHWESTERN COLLEGE-BOUND STUDENTS, DIVERSITY OF THE AREA MAKES THE IMPLICATIONS GENERALLY VALUABLE. THIS SPEECH WAS PREPARED FOR THE AMERICAN PERSONNEL AND GUIDANCE ASSOCIATION CONVENTION (WASHINGTON, D.C., SESSION 105, APRIL 5, 1966). (RM)



8 0.

ED 106

winder in a republic

Session 105

Place - Sheraton-Park Hotel Madison Room

Date - April 5, 1966 10:00-11:50

Title - A STUDY OF CERTAIN FACTORS INVOLVED IN JOB PLACEMENT ACTIVITY OF HIGH SCHOOL AGE YOUTH

Author - Norris C. Campbell Associate Professor of Psychology, Abilene Christian College

Since little more than lip service has been given to the subject of job placement activity among school age youth, there appears to be a definite need for more knowledge regarding this facet of guidance. Are school counselors involved in job placement? What are other people or agencies doing about finding jobs for school age youth? How much self effort is evident? The journals are as silent as the texts.

In a previous study we attempted to determine what school personnel felt they were contributing to job placement. While this gave some answers which we did not have, many unanswered questions remained. Chiefly they were those relating to what the students themselves felt about job placement activity.

We needed to know if they work, what kinds of jobs they hold, who helps them find jobs and if they work as much as they wish. This study was centered numerically around two basic groups. One attending Abilene Christian College was used and the other attending East Texas State University. The schools are about 230 miles apart. The groups were chiefly freshmen. A sub-group of A.C.C. students consisting of non-freshmen was also used. Responses were obtained from 947 E.T.S.U. freshmen, 745 A.C.C. freshmen and 217 A.C.C. non-freshmen, giving a total of 1909. The groups were used to check differences which it was thought might occur. They were, however, so nearly alike in their responses that no attempt has been made in this paper to deal with the slight differences observed.

A questionnaire was designed with questions arranged in a sequentially logical and spatial relationship with the hope of obtaining "best answers" from the students regarding themselves and work activity during their last school year and first summer out of school. Responses were punched into I.B.M. cards and tabulations were made through use of an I.B.M. Card Sorter. (At the back of the paper handed you is a copy of the questionnaire and following it are a group of tables showing the results.)

The total group was about equally divided between men and women as is shown in Table I. Since they were mainly freshmen, most of them graduated, as shown in Table II, in 1965. Table III shows that there was a generally

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE Office of Education This document has been repreduced exactly as received from the person or organization originating it. Points of view or opinions, stated do not necessarily represent official Office of Education

even distribution of community sizes represented as was also true of graduating class size shown in Table IV. Over 80 per cent of them were from Texas and 88.45 per cent were from the five states of Texas, Oklahoma, California, New Mexico and Colorado. This is shown in Table X. Among the "workers", men led, while among "non-workers", females were far out in front as shown in Table V. Respondents indicated that while 76.92 per cent of them had worked, 23.06. per cent had not worked during their last high school year and first summer out of school. A rather even distribution was also obtained for the three categories of "part-time", "full-time", and "both" as shown in Table VI. Table VII gives a rank order of occupations in which respondents said they engaged. "Office work" headed the list with a sizeable rercentage. The lack of "salable skills" seemed to show up for many as the unskilled jobs were noticeable prominent. Table VIII indicated that students may be rather /self-reliant in obtaining employment and it also gives a hierarchy of those whom respondents felt were of aid to them in obtaining employment. That many students do not get to work as much as they desire is evident from Table IX.

There are several conclusions and implications that are apparent from this study. One is that school personnel do not do much about helping young people find jobs. A second is that State Employment Agencies do very little more than schools are given credit for doing. A third, and perhaps most meaningful implication is that many young people would like to work more than they do. It would appear that there is a very high and definite need for some agency to provide for their needs and interests regarding jobs. Although this study is limited mainly to Southwestern college bound students, it is felt that diversity of the area tends to make the implications generally valuable. It is hoped that with more adequate funds a subsequent study can be made of non-college students.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

This survey is part of a research project and is designed to determine certain factors involved in JOB PLACEMENT ACTIVITY as related to high school age youth. Your reply is STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. Please do not sign your name.

	• •	{		- •	
1.	MaleFemale	COVE		f	-
			MITY	STATE	
2,	YEAR you graduated from HIGH SCHOOL		ATION	CODE	
	1961 1964	CODE		Ala.	01
	1962 1965	1. Ru	ral to 500	Alaska	
		2. 50	1 to 2,500	Ariz.	03
		3. 2,	501 to 7,500	Ark.	04
3.	location of your mon comes (4. 7,	501 to 25,000	Calif.	
	Location of your HIGH SCHOOL. (USE CODE	5. 25	,001 to 50,000	Colo	05
	NUMBERS shown at RIGHT of this sheet.) STATE)	6. 50	,001 to 100,000	Conn.	06
	DOTAL AMTON OF COLORS	7. 10	0,001 to 400,000	•	07
	POPULATION OF COMMUNITY)	-18. 40	0,001 to 1,000,000	Del.	08
4_	ADDON'THAME OF THE	9. mo:	re than 1,000,000	•	09
••	APPROXIMATE SIZE of your Graduating Class	3	2,000,000	Fla.	10
	(Use CLASS SIZE CODE NUMBERS at RIGHT)		CLASS SIZE CODE	Ga.	11
	•		1. 25 or fewer	Hawaii	12
5	Turning access to the second		2. 26-50	Idaho	13
7•	During your LAST HIGH SCHOOL YEAR and FIR	ST	3. 51-100	111.	14
		•		Ind.	15
	A MORE DEFINITION AND THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		4. 101-150	Iowa	16
	200.20	1	5. 151-250	Kans.	17
	Yes	1	6. 251-400	Ky.	18
	No	ĺ	7. 401-700	La.	19
			8. 701-1,000	Maine	20
0,	If you worked, was your EMPLOYMENT: (Check	į	9. more than 1,000	Mã.	21
	PART-TIME (Less than 40 hrs. per weel	k one)		Mass.	22
	TO THE PERSON OF			Mich.	23
•		()		Minn.	24
				Miss.	25
_	BOTH (Worked some part-time and some	• • •	•	Mo.	26
	per crime and some	full-tin	ne)	Mont.	27
7. 1	During your LAST HIGH SCHOOL VEAR and THE				28
	During your LAST HIGH SCHOOL YEAR and FIRS	T SUMME	? out		29
3	ou EMPLOYED? Indicate areas a controlling occup	Pations v	ere		30
1	you EMPLOYED? Indicate your answer by writer-time, 2 for Full-time, and 3 for Both	ting in	1 for		31
_	Both	•			35 21
					-
				N. Car.	3 3
				N. Dak.	<i>5</i> 7

- 4 -

Food Service	•	0hd - 26
Grocery Work		Ohio 36
Service Station Work		0kla. 37
Mechanic	• • • • •	
Heavy Machinery Operator		Pa. 39
Commercial Fishing		R.I. 40
Misc. Common Labor		S.Car.41
Oil Production		5.Dak 42
Oil Production Transportation (Trucking, Farm/Ranch/Dairy Work Office Work (Clerk, Book)	etc.)	Tenn. 43
Farm/Ranch/Dairy Work	, 2.5.4	Texas 44
Office Work (Clerk, Book)	Reper. Secretary, etc.)	Utah 45
Entertainment/Recreation	(Movie Theatre, Bowling, Life Guard, et	Vt. 46
Factory Work (Any kind inc	luding food processing and models.	
Misc. Yard Work	rearing room processing and packing)	Wash. 48
House Work (Any kind)	(Movie Theatre, Bowling, Life Guard, et cluding food processing and packing) Ty, plumbing, masonry, etc.)	W.Va. 49
Baby Sitting		Wisc. 50
Building Trades (Carpentr	V. Diumbing, masonmy oto \	Wyo. 51
Heavy Industrial Construc	tion (Any kind)	
Store Clerk (retail or wh	plessie)	Canada 53
Other: Please name	actuate /	Others 55
8. Who helped you in obtaining No one (I got it on my ow Father Nother Others of my family Personal friend Family friend Other: Who?	your employment? (Check all that apply) Private Employment Agency State Employment Agency School Personnel Supt. Ath. Coach Principal Teacher Counselor Other	
9. How do you feel about work Di I worked MORE than I red I worked ABOUT AS MUCH a	URING YOUR LAST YEAR AND FIRST SUMMER OU ally desired. As I wished. I MUCH as I would have liked.	T ?
mcc/acc/65	TRANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION	

į

TABLE I

	SE	X RATIO OF	RESPONDENTS
	N	96	
Males	937	49.08	•
Females	972	50.92	

TABLE II

	DATES	RESPONDENTS	GRADUATED FROM	HIGH SCHOOL	
DATE	N	4,	DATE	N	
1961	21	1.10	1964	204	10.69
1962	57	2.99	1965	1521	79.66
1963	68	3.56	Other	33	1.73
			Blank	5	.26

TABLE III

DISTRIBUTION	ACCOR	DING TO	SIZE OF RESPONDENT'S HOME (OMUNI	TY
SIZE 1. Rural to 500 2. 501 to 2,500 3. 2,501 to 7,500 4. 7,501 to 25,000 5. 25,001 to 50,000	N 115 203 286 346 205	6.05 10.68 15.05 18.21 10.78	5. 50,001 to 100,000 7. 100,001 to 400,000 8. 400,001 to 1,000,000 9. more than 1,000,000 10. Blank Total	150 185 235 175 9	7.89 9.74 12.37 9.21 .47

TABLE IV

SIZE	-	7			
	74	7	" SIZE	N	%
1. 25 or fewer	158	8.32	6. 251 - 40 0	274	14.43
2. 26 - 50	194	10.22	7. 401 - 700	370	19.49
3. 51 - 100	269	14.17	8. 701 - 1000	221	11.64
4. 101 - 150	170	8.96	9. more than 1000	26	1.37
5. 151 - 250	216	11.38	10. Blank	11	.58
	<u> </u>		Total	1909	•

	TABLE V						
NUMBER AND PER	CENT OF	RESFONDENTS	INDICATING	THEY	DID OR	DID NOT	WORK
WORKED	N	%	DID NOT	WORK		N	7
Male	859	1:5.32	Male			73	3.86
Female	599	31.60	Female			364	19.20
Total	1458	76.92	Total			437	23.06
		Total 1895	99.98%				

TABLE VI

TYPE OF EMPLO	MEN INDI	CATED BY RESPONDENTS	
	N	\$	
Part-time	461	24.15	
Full-time	491	25.72	
Both	526	27.55	
Blank (those not working)	430	22.57	
	1909	99.99	

TABLE VII

PANK OFFER OF	OCCUPATIONS	IN WHICH RESPONDENTS WORKED	
1. Office work	21.12%	11. Bldg. Trades	5.35
2. Store clerk	15.16	11. Reavy Ind. Const.	5-35
3. Baby sitting	14.61	12. Serv. Sta. work	5.29
4. Farm/Ranch	11.25	13. EntertainRec. work	5.28
5. Grocery Wk.	10.70	14. Transportation	4.46
6. Food Service	8.85	15. Mechanic	3.43
7. Misc. Common Labor	8.57	16. Heavy Mach. Op.	3.36
8. Factory work	6.79	17. 0il Production	1.30
9. House work	5.70	18. Commercial Fishing	.27
10. Misc. Yard work	5.60	19. Other (misc.)	25.30

TABLE VIII

RANK ORDER OF THOSE	assisting	RESPONDENTS IN OBTAINING EMPLA	DYMENT
1. No one (self)	47.53%	9. Teacher (school)	1.99
2. Father	24.14	10. Private Empl. Agency	1.78
3. Personal Friend	19.82	11. Principal	1.03
4. Family Friend	12.96	11. Counselor	1.03
5. Mother	8.71	12. Others (school)	.82
6. Others of family	7.27	13. Athletic Coach	.62
7. State Emply. Agency	3.15	14. Superintendent	.34
8. Others (non-school)	2.81	-	

TABLE IX

RESPONDENT'S INDICATIONS OF FEELI	ING ABOUT	AMOUNT THAT THEY	WORDED
1. Worked MONE than desired	N 98	6,38	•
2. Worked ABOUT AS MUCH as desired	877	56.91	
3. DID NOT work as much as desired Total	566 1541	36.73	

The second secon

TABLE X

-	A D	ISTRIBUTION	OF F	ESPONDENT	: 3 <u>Y</u>	STATES	
STATE	N						
Ala.	5						
Alaska	5 3 7						
Ariz. Ark.	17						
Calif.	43						
Colo.	24						
Conn.	1						
Dei.	2 16 8 1				TOP	FIVE STATE	es ·
D.C. Fla.	16				1	Desce	3 E).O
Ga.	8	<u></u> "		•	1.	Texas Okla.	1548 49
Hawaii	ĭ	•			3.	Calif.	43
Idaho	2				4.	N. Mex.	43 43
III.	2 9 3 2				5.	Colo.	33 24
Ind. Iowa:	3	•				Total	1689 (88.48%)
Kans.		•		•			, ,
Ky.	15 15 05 4 9 0 36 1				•		
La. Maine	5						
Md.	S .						
Mass.	4						
Mich.	9						
Minn.	0 .						•
Miss. Mo.	3						
Mont.	0 1			,			•
Nebr.	i					:	٠
Nev.	_						
N.H.	1 0 6 33						
N.J.	6						
N.Mex. N.Y.	33 10		•				
N.Car.	2	•				•	
N.Dak.	Ž						
Obio	,6						
Okla. Ore.	49						
Pa.	ž						
R.I.	19 29 29 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15						
S.Car.	2					•,	
S.Dak.: Tenn.	12	•		•	•		
Texas							
Utah							
Vt. Va.	9						
Wash.	206 252 1		•				•
W.Va.	5			• •			•
Wisc. Wyo.	2 .						•
Canada	6.						
Other	7					•	
		ر در بروان در					